### 3.2 Binary Search Trees



- BSTs
- ordered operations
- deletion

Binary search trees

Definition. A BST is a binary tree in symmetric order.

A binary tree is either:

- Empty.
- Two disjoint binary trees (left and right).

Symmetric order. Each node has a key, and every node's key is:

- Larger than all keys in its left subtree.
- Smaller than all keys in its right subtree.


Anatomy of a binary search tree

## BST representation in Java

Java definition. A BST is a reference to a root Node.

A Node is comprised of four fields:

- A Key and a value.
- A reference to the left and right subtree.


```
private class Node
{
    private Key key;
    private Value val;
    private Node left, right;
    public Node(Key key, Value val)
    {
        this.key = key;
        this.val = val;
    }
}
```



Binary search tree

## BST implementation (skeleton)

```
public class BST<Key extends Comparable<Key>, Value>
{
    private Node root;
    private class Node
    { /* see previous slide */ }
    public void put(Key key, Value val)
    { /* see next slides */ }
    public Value get(Key key)
    { /* see next slides */ }
    public void delete (Key key)
    { /* see next slides */ }
    public Iterable<Key> iterator()
    { /* see next slides */ }
}
```


## BST search and insert demo

## BST search: Java implementation

Get. Return value corresponding to given key, or null if no such key.

```
public Value get(Key key)
{
    Node x = root;
    while (x != null)
    {
        int cmp = key.compareTo(x.key);
        if (cmp < O) x = x.left;
        else if (cmp > 0) x = x.right;
        else if (cmp == 0) return x.val;
        }
        return null;
}
```

Cost. Number of compares is equal to $1+$ depth of node.

## BST insert

Put. Associate value with key.

Search for key, then two cases:

- Key in tree $\Rightarrow$ reset value.
- Key not in tree $\Rightarrow$ add new node.
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## BST insert: Java implementation

Put. Associate value with key.

```
public void put(Key key, Value val)
{ root = put(root, key, val); }
private Node put(Node x, Key key, Value val)
{
    if (x == null) return new Node(key, val);
    int cmp = key.compareTo(x.key);
        if (cmp < 0)
        x.left = put(x.left, key, val);
    else if (cmp > 0)
        x.right = put(x.right, key, val);
    else if (cmp == 0)
        x.val = val;
        return x;
}
```

Cost. Number of compares is equal to $1+$ depth of node.

## Tree shape

- Many BSTs correspond to same set of keys.
- Number of compares for search/insert is equal to $1+$ depth of node.


Remark. Tree shape depends on order of insertion.

BST insertion: random order visualization

Ex. Insert keys in random order.


Correspondence between BSTs and quicksort partitioning
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Remark. Correspondence is 1-1 if array has no duplicate keys.

BSTs: mathematical analysis

Proposition. If $N$ distinct keys are inserted into a BST in random order, the expected number of compares for a search/insert is $\sim 2 \ln N$.
Pf. 1-1 correspondence with quicksort partitioning.

Proposition. [Reed, 2003] If $N$ distinct keys are inserted in random order, expected height of tree is $\sim 4.311 \ln N$.
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## ABSTRACT

Let $H_{n}$ be the height of a random binary search tree on $n$ nodes. We show that there exists constants $\alpha=4.31107$.. and $\beta=1.95 \ldots$ such that $\mathbf{E}\left(H_{n}\right)=\alpha \log n-\beta \log \log n+$ $O(1)$, We also show that $\operatorname{Var}\left(H_{n}\right)=O(1)$.

But... Worst-case height is $N$.
(exponentially small chance when keys are inserted in random order)

ST implementations: summary

| implementation | guarantee |  | average case |  | ordered ops? | operations on keys |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | search | insert | search hit | insert |  |  |
| sequential search (unordered list) | N | N | N/2 | N | no | equals() |
| binary search (ordered array) | $\lg N$ | N | $\lg N$ | N/2 | yes | compareTo () |
| BST | N | N | $1.39 \lg \mathrm{~N}$ | $1.39 \lg \mathrm{~N}$ | ? | compareTo () |

> ordered operations

## Minimum and maximum

Minimum. Smallest key in table. Maximum. Largest key in table.

Q. How to find the min / max?

Floor and ceiling

Floor. Largest key $\leq$ to a given key.
Ceiling. Smallest key $\geq$ to a given key.

Q. How to find the floor / ceiling?

Computing the floor

Case 1. [ $k$ equals the key at root]
The floor of $k$ is $k$.

Case 2. [ $k$ is less than the key at root] The floor of $k$ is in the left subtree.

Case 3. [ $k$ is greater than the key at root]
The floor of $k$ is in the right subtree (if there is any key $\leq k$ in right subtree); otherwise it is the key in the root.

## Computing the floor

```
public Key floor(Key key)
{
    Node x = floor(root, key);
    if (x == null) return null;
    return x.key;
}
private Node floor(Node x, Key key)
{
    if (x == null) return null;
    int cmp = key.compareTo(x.key);
    if (cmp == 0) return x;
    if (cmp < 0) return floor(x.left, key);
    Node t = floor(x.right, key);
    if (t != null) return t;
    else return x;
}
```

finding floor (G)


floor (G) could be
 subtree is nul 1


In each node, we store the number of nodes in the subtree rooted at that node. To implement size(), return the count at the root.


Remark. This facilitates efficient implementation of rank() and select().

BST implementation: subtree counts

```
private class Node
{
    private Key key;
    private Value val;
    private Node left;
    private Node right;
    private int N;
}
number of nodes
in subtree
```

```
public int size()
    { return size(root); }
```

private int size(Node $x$ )
\{
if ( $x==$ null) return 0 ;
return $\mathrm{x} . \mathrm{N}$;
\}

```
private Node put(Node x, Key key, Value val)
{
    if (x == null) return new Node(key, val);
    int cmp = key.compareTo(x.key);
    if (cmp < 0) x.left = put(x.left, key, val);
    else if (cmp > 0) x.right = put(x.right, key, val);
    else if (cmp == 0) x.val = val;
    x.N = 1 + size(x.left) + size(x.right);
    return x;
}
```


## Rank

Rank. How many keys < $k$ ?

Easy recursive algorithm (4 cases!)


```
public int rank(Key key)
{ return rank(key, root); }
private int rank(Key key, Node x)
{
    if (x == null) return 0;
    int cmp = key.compareTo(x.key);
    if (cmp < 0) return rank(key, x.left);
    else if (cmp > 0) return 1 + size(x.left) + rank(key, x.right);
    else if (cmp == 0) return size(x.left);
```

\}

## Selection

Select. Key of given rank.

```
public Key select(int k)
{
    if (k < O) return null;
    if (k >= size()) return null;
    Node x = select(root, k);
    return x.key;
}
private Node select(Node x, int k)
{
    if (x == null) return null;
    int t = size(x.left);
    if (t > k)
        return select(x.left, k);
    else if (t < k)
        return select(x.right, k-t-1);
    else if (t == k)
        return x;
}
```

finding select(3)
the key of rank 3


2 keys in left subtree so
search for key of rank


## Inorder traversal

- Traverse left subtree.
- Enqueue key.
- Traverse right subtree.

```
public Iterable<Key> keys()
{
    Queue<Key> q = new Queue<Key>();
    inorder(root, q);
    return q;
}
private void inorder(Node x, Queue<Key> q)
{
    if (x == null) return;
    inorder(x.left, q);
    q.enqueue (x.key);
    inorder(x.right, q);
}
```



Property. Inorder traversal of a BST yields keys in ascending order.

## Inorder traversal

- Traverse left subtree.
- Enqueue key.
- Traverse right subtree.

```
inorder(S)
    inorder(E)
        inorder(A)
            enqueue A
            inorder(C)
                enqueue C
        enqueue E
        inorder(R)
            inorder(H)
            enqueue H
            inorder(M)
                enqueue M
            enqueue R
    enqueue S
    inorder(X)
        enqueue X
```


queue


## BST: ordered symbol table operations summary


order of growth of running time of ordered symbol table operations

## , BSTs

deletion

ST implementations: summary

| implementation | guarantee |  |  | average case |  |  | ordered iteration? | operations on keys |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | search | insert | delete | search hit | insert | delete |  |  |
| sequential search (linked list) | N | N | N | N/2 | N | N/2 | no | equals() |
| binary search (ordered array) | $\lg N$ | N | N | $\lg N$ | N/2 | N/2 | yes | compareTo() |
| BST | N | N | N | 1.39 lg N | $1.39 \lg \mathrm{~N}$ |  | yes | compareTo() |

Next. Deletion in BSTs.

## BST deletion: lazy approach

To remove a node with a given key:

- Set its value to null.
- Leave key in tree to guide searches (but don't consider it equal to search key).


Cost. $\sim 2 \ln N^{\prime}$ per insert, search, and delete (if keys in random order), where $N^{\prime}$ is the number of key-value pairs ever inserted in the BST.

Unsatisfactory solution. Tombstone overload.

To delete the minimum key:

- Go left until finding a node with a null left link.
- Replace that node by its right link.
- Update subtree counts.

```
public void deleteMin()
    { root = deleteMin(root); }
private Node deleteMin(Node x)
{
    if (x.left == null) return x.right;
    x.left = deleteMin(x.left);
    x.N = 1 + size(x.left) + size(x.right);
    return x;
}
```

Hibbard deletion

To delete a node with key $k$ : search for node $t$ containing key $k$.

Case 0. [0 children] Delete $t$ by setting parent link to null.


Hibbard deletion

To delete a node with key $k$ : search for node $t$ containing key $k$.

Case 1. [1 child] Delete $t$ by replacing parent link.


Hibbard deletion

To delete a node with key $k$ : search for node $t$ containing key $k$.

Case 2. [2 children]

- Find successor $x$ of $t$.
$\longleftarrow \quad x$ has no left child
- Delete the minimum in t's right subtree.
$\longleftarrow$ but don't garbage collect x
- Put $x$ in $t^{\prime}$ s spot.
$\longleftarrow$ still a BST


Hibbard deletion: Java implementation

```
public void delete(Key key)
{ root = delete(root, key); }
private Node delete(Node x, Key key) {
    if (x == null) return null;
    int cmp = key.compareTo(x.key);
    if (cmp < 0) x.left = delete(x.left, key);
    else if (cmp > 0) x.right = delete(x.right, key);
    else {
        if (x.right == null) return x.left;
        Node t = x;
        x = min(t.right);
        x.right = deleteMin(t.right);
        x.left = t.left;
    }
    x.N = size(x.left) + size(x.right) + 1;
    return x;
}
```

Hibbard deletion: analysis

Unsatisfactory solution. Not symmetric.


Surprising consequence. Trees not random (!) $\Rightarrow$ sqrt ( $N$ ) per op. Longstanding open problem. Simple and efficient delete for BSTs.

ST implementations: summary

| implementation | guarantee |  |  | average case |  |  | ordered iteration? | operations on keys |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | search | insert | delete | search hit | insert | delete |  |  |
| sequential search (linked list) | N | N | N | N/2 | N | N/2 | no | equals() |
| binary search (ordered array) | $\lg N$ | N | N | $\lg N$ | N/2 | N/2 | yes | compareTo () |
| BST | N | N | $N$ | $1.39 \lg \mathrm{~N}$ | $39 \lg N$ |  | yes | compareTo () |

Red-black BST. Guarantee logarithmic performance for all operations.


[^0]:    Insertion into a BST

