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**Mincut problem**

**Input.** A weighted digraph, source vertex $s$, and target vertex $t$. Each edge has a positive capacity.
Def. A \textit{st-cut (cut)} is a partition of the vertices into two disjoint sets, with $s$ in one set $A$ and $t$ in the other set $B$.

Def. Its \textit{capacity} is the sum of the capacities of the edges from $A$ to $B$. 

capacity = 10 + 5 + 15 = 30
Def. A *st-cut (cut)* is a partition of the vertices into two disjoint sets, with $s$ in one set $A$ and $t$ in the other set $B$.

Def. Its *capacity* is the sum of the capacities of the edges from $A$ to $B$.

capacity $= 10 + 8 + 16 = 34$
MinCut problem

**Def.** A *st-cut (cut)* is a partition of the vertices into two disjoint sets, with $s$ in one set $A$ and $t$ in the other set $B$.

**Def.** Its **capacity** is the sum of the capacities of the edges from $A$ to $B$.

**Minimum st-cut (mincut) problem.** Find a cut of minimum capacity.

capacity $= 10 + 8 + 10 = 28$
"Free world" goal. Cut supplies (if cold war turns into real war).

Min cut application (1950s)

![Map of rail network connecting Soviet Union with Eastern European countries](map.png)
Potential mincut application (2010s)

**Government-in-power’s goal.** Cut off communication to specified set of people.
Maxflow problem

**Input.** A weighted digraph, source vertex $s$, and target vertex $t$. Each edge has a positive capacity.
Def. An \textit{st-flow (flow)} is an assignment of values to the edges such that:

- \textbf{Capacity constraint:} \(0 \leq \text{edge's flow} \leq \text{edge's capacity.}\)
- \textbf{Local equilibrium:} \(\text{inflow} = \text{outflow at every vertex (except } s \text{ and } t).\)
Maxflow problem

**Def.** An *st*-flow (flow) is an assignment of values to the edges such that:

- Capacity constraint: $0 \leq \text{edge's flow} \leq \text{edge's capacity}$.
- Local equilibrium: inflow = outflow at every vertex (except $s$ and $t$).

**Def.** The value of a flow is the inflow at $t$.

---

![Graph](image)

Value $= 5 + 10 + 10 = 25$

We assume no edge points from $s$ or to $t$. 
Maxflow problem

Def. An \textit{st-flow (flow)} is an assignment of values to the edges such that:
- Capacity constraint: $0 \leq \text{edge's flow} \leq \text{edge's capacity}$.
- Local equilibrium: inflow = outflow at every vertex (except $s$ and $t$).

Def. The \textbf{value} of a flow is the inflow at $t$.

\textbf{Maximum st-flow (maxflow) problem}. Find a flow of maximum value.

![Diagram of a network flow graph with capacities and flows labeled, and a maximum flow path highlighted in blue. The value of the maximum flow is calculated as $8 + 10 + 10 = 28$.](attachment:image.png)
Maxflow application (1950s)

Soviet Union goal. Maximize flow of supplies to Eastern Europe.

rail network connecting Soviet Union with Eastern European countries (map declassified by Pentagon in 1999)
Potential maxflow application (2010s)

"Free world" goal. Maximize flow of information to specified set of people.
Summary

Input. A weighted digraph, source vertex $s$, and target vertex $t$.
Min-cut problem. Find a cut of minimum capacity.
Maxflow problem. Find a flow of maximum value.

Remarkable fact. These two problems are dual!
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Ford-Fulkerson algorithm

**Initialization.** Start with 0 flow.

---

**Initialization**

![Graph with flows and capacities]
Idea: increase flow along augmenting paths

**Augmenting path.** Find an undirected path from \( s \) to \( t \) such that:
- Can increase flow on forward edges (not full).
- Can decrease flow on backward edge (not empty).

**1st augmenting path**

![Graph with labeled edges and nodes](image)

- **Bottleneck capacity = 10**
- **Flow augmentation:**
  - From \( s \) to \( t \): \( 0 + 10 = 10 \)
Idea: increase flow along augmenting paths

**Augmenting path.** Find an undirected path from \( s \) to \( t \) such that:

- Can increase flow on forward edges (not full).
- Can decrease flow on backward edge (not empty).

2\(^{nd} \) augmenting path

![Diagram of a network flow graph with node labels and edge capacities, showing an augmenting path from \( s \) to \( t \).]
Idea: increase flow along augmenting paths

**Augmenting path.** Find an undirected path from $s$ to $t$ such that:
- Can increase flow on forward edges (not full).
- Can decrease flow on backward edge (not empty).

3rd augmenting path
**Idea: increase flow along augmenting paths**

**Augmenting path.** Find an undirected path from $s$ to $t$ such that:
- Can increase flow on forward edges (not full).
- Can decrease flow on backward edge (not empty).

**4th augmenting path**

![Graph showing the 4th augmenting path](image)
Idea: increase flow along augmenting paths

**Termination.** All paths from $s$ to $t$ are blocked by either a
- Full forward edge.
- Empty backward edge.

no more augmenting paths
Ford-Fulkerson algorithm

Questions.

• How to compute a mincut?
• How to find an augmenting path?
• If FF terminates, does it always compute a maxflow?
• Does FF always terminate? If so, after how many augmentations?
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Relationship between flows and cuts

**Def.** The net flow across a cut $(A, B)$ is the sum of the flows on its edges from $A$ to $B$ minus the sum of the flows on its edges from $B$ to $A$.

**Proposition.** Let $f$ be any flow and let $(A, B)$ be any cut. Then, the net flow across $(A, B)$ equals the value of $f$.

\[
\text{net flow across cut} = 5 + 10 + 10 = 25
\]
Def. The net flow across a cut $(A, B)$ is the sum of the flows on its edges from $A$ to $B$ minus the sum of the flows on its edges from $B$ to $A$.

Proposition. Let $f$ be any flow and let $(A, B)$ be any cut. Then, the net flow across $(A, B)$ equals the value of $f$. 

\[
\text{net flow across cut } = 10 + 5 + 10 = 25
\]
**Relationship between flows and cuts**

**Def.** The net flow across a cut \((A, B)\) is the sum of the flows on its edges from \(A\) to \(B\) minus the sum of the flows on its edges from \(B\) to \(A\).

**Proposition.** [flow-value lemma] Let \(f\) be any flow and let \((A, B)\) be any cut. Then, the net flow across \((A, B)\) equals the value of \(f\).

\[
\text{net flow across cut} = (10 + 10 + 5 + 10) - (5 + 5) = 25
\]
Relationship between flows and cuts

**Def.** The net flow across a cut \((A, B)\) is the sum of the flows on its edges from \(A\) to \(B\) minus the sum of the flows on its edges from \(B\) to \(A\).

**Proposition.** [flow-value lemma] Let \(f\) be any flow and let \((A, B)\) be any cut. Then, the net flow across \((A, B)\) equals the value of \(f\).

**Pf.** By induction on the size of \(B\).
- **Base case:** \(B = \{t\}\).
- **Induction step:** remains true by local equilibrium when moving any vertex from \(A\) to \(B\).

**Corollary.** Outflow from \(s\) = inflow to \(t\) = value of flow.
Relationship between flows and cuts

**Weak duality.** Let $f$ be any flow and let $(A, B)$ be any cut. Then, the value of the flow $\leq$ the capacity of the cut.

**Pf.** Value of flow $f = \text{net flow across cut } (A, B) \leq \text{capacity of cut } (A, B)$.
Maxflow-mincut theorem

Augmenting path theorem. A flow $f$ is a maxflow iff no augmenting paths. 

Maxflow-mincut theorem. Value of the maxflow = capacity of mincut.

Pf. The following three conditions are equivalent for any flow $f$:

i. There exists a cut whose capacity equals the value of the flow $f$.

ii. $f$ is a maxflow.

iii. There is no augmenting path with respect to $f$.

[ i $\Rightarrow$ ii ]

• Suppose that $(A, B)$ is a cut with capacity equal to the value of $f$.

• Then, the value of any flow $f' \leq$ capacity of $(A, B) = \text{value of } f$.

• Thus, $f$ is a maxflow.

weak duality
Maxflow-mincut theorem

Augmenting path theorem. A flow $f$ is a maxflow iff no augmenting paths.

Maxflow-mincut theorem. Value of the maxflow = capacity of mincut.

Pf. The following three conditions are equivalent for any flow $f$:

i. There exists a cut whose capacity equals the value of the flow $f$.
ii. $f$ is a maxflow.
iii. There is no augmenting path with respect to $f$.

[ii $\Rightarrow$ iii] We prove contrapositive: $\sim$iii $\Rightarrow$ $\sim$ii.

• Suppose that there is an augmenting path with respect to $f$.
• Can improve flow $f$ by sending flow along this path.
• Thus, $f$ is not a maxflow.
Maxflow-mincut theorem

Augmenting path theorem. A flow $f$ is a maxflow iff no augmenting paths.

Maxflow-mincut theorem. Value of the maxflow = capacity of mincut.

Pf. The following three conditions are equivalent for any flow $f$:

i. There exists a cut whose capacity equals the value of the flow $f$.

ii. $f$ is a maxflow.

iii. There is no augmenting path with respect to $f$.

$[\text{iii} \Rightarrow \text{i}]$

Suppose that there is no augmenting path with respect to $f$.

• Let $(A, B)$ be a cut where $A$ is the set of vertices connected to $s$ by an undirected path with no full forward or empty backward edges.

• By definition, $s$ is in $A$; since no augmenting path, $t$ is in $B$.

• Capacity of cut = net flow across cut $\leftarrow$ forward edges full; backward edges empty

$= \text{value of flow } f. \quad \leftarrow$ flow-value proposition
Computing a mincut from a maxflow

To compute mincut \((A, B)\) from maxflow \(f\):

- By augmenting path theorem, no augmenting paths with respect to \(f\).
- Compute \(A =\) set of vertices connected to \(s\) by an undirected path with no full forward or empty backward edges.
Ford-Fulkerson algorithm

Start with 0 flow.
While there exists an augmenting path:
- find an augmenting path
- compute bottleneck capacity
- increase flow on that path by bottleneck capacity

Questions.
• How to compute a mincut? Easy. ✔
• How to find an augmenting path? BFS works well.
• If FF terminates, does it always compute a maxflow? Yes. ✔
• Does FF always terminate? If so, after how many augmentations?
  yes, provided edge capacities are integers (or augmenting paths are chosen carefully)
  requires clever analysis (see COS 423)
**Ford-Fulkerson algorithm with integer capacities**

**Important special case.** Edge capacities are integers between 1 and $U$.

**Invariant.** The flow is integer-valued throughout FF.

**Pf.** [by induction]

- Bottleneck capacity is an integer.
- Flow on an edge increases/decreases by bottleneck capacity.

**Proposition.** Number of augmentations $\leq$ the value of the maxflow.

**Pf.** Each augmentation increases the value by at least 1.

**Integrality theorem.** There exists an integer-valued maxflow.

**Pf.** FF terminates; invariant ensures maxflow that FF finds is integer-valued.
Bad case for Ford-Fulkerson

**Bad news.** Even when edge capacities are integers, number of augmenting paths could be equal to the value of the maxflow.

![Diagram](image-url)

*initialize with 0 flow*
Bad case for Ford-Fulkerson

**Bad news.** Even when edge capacities are integers, number of augmenting paths could be equal to the value of the maxflow.
Bad case for Ford-Fulkerson

**Bad news.** Even when edge capacities are integers, number of augmenting paths could be equal to the value of the maxflow.
Bad news. Even when edge capacities are integers, number of augmenting paths could be equal to the value of the maxflow.
Bad case for Ford-Fulkerson

Bad news. Even when edge capacities are integers, number of augmenting paths could be equal to the value of the maxflow.
Bad case for Ford-Fulkerson

**Bad news.** Even when edge capacities are integers, number of augmenting paths could be equal to the value of the maxflow.
Bad case for Ford-Fulkerson

**Bad news.** Even when edge capacities are integers, number of augmenting paths could be equal to the value of the maxflow.
Bad news. Even when edge capacities are integers, number of augmenting paths could be equal to the value of the maxflow.
Bad case for Ford-Fulkerson

**Bad news.** Even when edge capacities are integers, number of augmenting paths could be equal to the value of the maxflow.

**Good news.** This case is easily avoided. [use shortest/fattest augmenting path]

![Graph with capacities](image-url)
How to choose augmenting paths?

**FF performance depends on choice of augmenting paths.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>augmenting path</th>
<th>number of paths</th>
<th>implementation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>shortest path</td>
<td>$\leq \frac{1}{2} E V$</td>
<td>queue (BFS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fattest path</td>
<td>$\leq E \ln(E U)$</td>
<td>priority queue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>random path</td>
<td>$\leq E U$</td>
<td>randomized queue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFS path</td>
<td>$\leq E U$</td>
<td>stack (DFS)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

digraph with V vertices, E edges, and integer capacities (max U)
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Flow network representation

Flow edge data type. Associate flow $f_e$ and capacity $c_e$ with edge $e = v \rightarrow w$.

$$\text{flow } f_e \quad \text{capacity } c_e$$

Flow network data type. Need to process edge $e = v \rightarrow w$ in either direction:
Include $e$ in both $v$ and $w$'s adjacency lists.

Residual capacity.
- Forward edge: residual capacity $= c_e - f_e$.
- Backward edge: residual capacity $= f_e$.

Augment flow.
- Forward edge: add $\Delta$.
- Backward edge: subtract $\Delta$. 
Residual network. A useful view of a flow network.

Flow network representation

**Key point.** Augmenting path in original network is equivalent to directed path in residual network.
Flow edge API

public class FlowEdge

FlowEdge(int v, int w, double capacity)  
create a flow edge $v \rightarrow w$ with given capacity

int from()  
vertex this edge points from

int to()  
vertex this edge points to

int other(int v)  
other endpoint

double capacity()  
capacity of this edge

double flow()  
flow in this edge

double residualCapacityTo(int v)  
residual capacity toward $v$

void addResidualFlowTo(int v, double delta)  
add delta flow toward $v$

String toString()  
string representation

flow $f_e$  capacity $c_e$

$V \rightarrow W$  
7 / 9

residual capacity

forward edge

backward edge
public class FlowEdge
{
    private final int v, w;       // from and to
    private final double capacity;  // capacity
    private double flow;          // flow

    public FlowEdge(int v, int w, double capacity)
    {
        this.v        = v;
        this.w        = w;
        this.capacity = capacity;
    }

    public int from()         { return v;        }
    public int to()           { return w;        }
    public double capacity()  { return capacity; }
    public double flow()      { return flow;     }

    public int other(int vertex)
    {
        if      (vertex == v) return w;
        else if (vertex == w) return v;
        else throw new RuntimeException("Illegal endpoint");
    }

    public double residualCapacityTo(int vertex)             {...}
    public void addResidualFlowTo(int vertex, double delta)  {...}
}
**Flow edge: Java implementation**

```java
public double residualCapacityTo(int vertex)
{
    if   (vertex == v) return flow;
    else if (vertex == w) return capacity - flow;
    else throw new RuntimeException("Illegal endpoint");
}

public void addResidualFlowTo(int vertex, double delta)
{
    if   (vertex == v) flow -= delta;
    else if (vertex == w) flow += delta;
    else throw new RuntimeException("Illegal endpoint");
}
```
## Flow network API

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><code>FlowNetwork(int V)</code></td>
<td>create an empty flow network with V vertices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>FlowNetwork(In in)</code></td>
<td>construct flow network input stream</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>void addEdge(FlowEdge e)</code></td>
<td>add flow edge e to this flow network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>Iterable&lt;FlowEdge&gt; adj(int v)</code></td>
<td>forward and backward edges incident to v</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>Iterable&lt;FlowEdge&gt; edges()</code></td>
<td>all edges in this flow network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>int V()</code></td>
<td>number of vertices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>int E()</code></td>
<td>number of edges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>String toString()</code></td>
<td>string representation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Conventions

Allow self-loops and parallel edges.
public class FlowNetwork {
    private final int V;
    private Bag<FlowEdge>[] adj;

    public FlowNetwork(int V) {
        this.V = V;
        adj = (Bag<FlowEdge>[])(new Bag[V]);
        for (int v = 0; v < V; v++)
            adj[v] = new Bag<FlowEdge>();
    }

    public void addEdge(FlowEdge e) {
        int v = e.from();
        int w = e.to();
        adj[v].add(e);
        adj[w].add(e);
    }

    public Iterable<FlowEdge> adj(int v) {
        return adj[v];
    }
}
Maintain vertex-indexed array of FlowEdge lists (use Bag abstraction).
Ford-Fulkerson: Java implementation

```java
public class FordFulkerson {
    private boolean[] marked; // true if s->v path in residual network
    private FlowEdge[] edgeTo; // last edge on s->v path
    private double value; // value of flow

    public FordFulkerson(FlowNetwork G, int s, int t) {
        value = 0;
        while (hasAugmentingPath(G, s, t)) {
            double bottle = Double.POSITIVE_INFINITY;
            for (int v = t; v != s; v = edgeTo[v].other(v))
                bottle = Math.min(bottle, edgeTo[v].residualCapacityTo(v));
            for (int v = t; v != s; v = edgeTo[v].other(v))
                edgeTo[v].addResidualFlowTo(v, bottle);
            value += bottle;
        }
    }

    public double hasAugmentingPath(FlowNetwork G, int s, int t) {
        /* See next slide. */
    }

    public double value() { return value; }

    public boolean inCut(int v) { return marked[v]; }
}
```
private boolean hasAugmentingPath(FlowNetwork G, int s, int t) {
    edgeTo = new FlowEdge[G.V()];
    marked = new boolean[G.V()];
    Queue<Integer> q = new Queue<Integer>();
    q.enqueue(s);
    marked[s] = true;
    while (!q.isEmpty())
    {
        int v = q.dequeue();
        for (FlowEdge e : G.adj(v))
        {
            int w = e.other(v);
            if (e.residualCapacityTo(w) > 0 && !marked[w])
            {
                edgeTo[w] = e;
                marked[w] = true;
                q.enqueue(w);
            }
        }
    }
    return marked[t];
}

found path from s to w in the residual network?

is t reachable from s in residual network?

save last edge on path to w;
mark w;
add w to the queue
overview
Ford-Fulkerson algorithm
analysis
Java implementation
applications
Maxflow and mincut applications

Maxflow/mincut is a widely applicable problem-solving model.

• Data mining.
• Open-pit mining.
• Bipartite matching.
• Network reliability.
• Image segmentation.
• Network connectivity.
• Distributed computing.
• Egalitarian stable matching.
• Security of statistical data.
• Multi-camera scene reconstruction.
• Sensor placement for homeland security.
• Baseball elimination.  
  see next programming assignment
• Many, many, more.
Bipartite matching problem

N students apply for N jobs.

Each gets several offers.

Is there a way to match all students to jobs?

bipartite matching problem

1 Alice
   Adobe
   Amazon
   Google

2 Bob
   Adobe
   Amazon

3 Carol
   Adobe
   Facebook
   Google

4 Dave
   Amazon
   Yahoo

5 Eliza
   Amazon
   Yahoo

6 Adobe
   Alice
   Bob
   Carol

7 Amazon
   Alice
   Bob

8 Facebook
   Dave
   Eliza
   Carol

9 Google
   Alice
   Carol

10 Yahoo
   Dave
   Eliza
Given a bipartite graph, find a maximal matching.

**Bipartite matching problem**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>perfect matching (solution)</th>
<th>bipartite graph</th>
<th>bipartite matching problem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alice ——— Google</td>
<td>1 ——— 6</td>
<td>1 Alice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bob ——— Adobe</td>
<td>2 ——— 7</td>
<td>6 Adobe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carol ——— Facebook</td>
<td>3 ——— 8</td>
<td>2 Bob</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dave ——— Yahoo</td>
<td>4 ——— 9</td>
<td>7 Amazon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eliza ——— Amazon</td>
<td>5 ——— 10</td>
<td>3 Carol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8 Facebook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4 Dave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9 Google</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5 Eliza</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10 Yahoo</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Network flow formulation of bipartite matching

- Create $s$, $t$, one vertex for each student, and one vertex for each job.
- Add edge from $s$ to each student (capacity 1).
- Add edge from each job to $t$ (capacity 1).
- Add edge from student to each job offered (infinite capacity).
Network flow formulation of bipartite matching

1-1 correspondence between integer-valued maxflow solution of value $N$ and perfect matchings.

bipartite matching problem

1 Alice
   Adobe
   Amazon
   Google
2 Bob
   Adobe
   Amazon
3 Carol
   Adobe
   Facebook
   Google
4 Dave
   Amazon
   Yahoo
5 Eliza
   Amazon
   Yahoo
6 Adobe
   Alice
   Bob
   Carol
7 Amazon
   Alice
   Bob
   Dave
   Eliza
8 Facebook
   Carol
9 Google
   Alice
   Carol
10 Yahoo
   Dave
   Eliza

students

companies
**Goal.** When no perfect matching, explain why.

S = \{ 2, 4, 5 \}  
T = \{ 7, 10 \}

student in S can be matched only to companies in T

| S | > T
What the mincut tells us

**Mincut.** Consider mincut \((A, B)\).

- Let \(S = \text{students on } s\) side of cut.
- Let \(T = \text{companies on } s\) side of cut.
- Fact: \(|S| > |T|\) and students in \(S\) can only be matched to companies in \(T\).

**Bottom line.** When no perfect matching, mincut explains why.
Baseball elimination

**Q.** Which teams have a chance of finishing the season with the most wins?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>i</th>
<th>team</th>
<th>wins</th>
<th>losses</th>
<th>to play</th>
<th>ATL</th>
<th>PHI</th>
<th>NYM</th>
<th>MON</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Atlanta</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Philly</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Montreal</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Montreal is mathematically eliminated.
- Montreal finishes with \( \leq 80 \) wins.
- Atlanta already has 83 wins.
**Baseball elimination**

**Q.** Which teams have a chance of finishing the season with the most wins?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>i</th>
<th>team</th>
<th>wins</th>
<th>losses</th>
<th>to play</th>
<th>ATL</th>
<th>PHI</th>
<th>NYM</th>
<th>MON</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Atlanta</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Philly</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Montreal</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Philadelphia is mathematically eliminated.**
- Philadelphia finishes with \( \leq 83 \) wins.
- Either New York or Atlanta will finish with \( \geq 84 \) wins.

**Observation.** Answer depends not only on how many games already won and left to play, but on whom they’re against.
Q. Which teams have a chance of finishing the season with the most wins?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>i</th>
<th>team</th>
<th>wins</th>
<th>losses</th>
<th>to play</th>
<th>NYY</th>
<th>BAL</th>
<th>BOS</th>
<th>TOR</th>
<th>DET</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Baltimore</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Boston</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Toronto</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Detroit</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AL East (August 30, 1996)

Detroit is mathematically eliminated.

- Detroit finishes with \( \leq 76 \) wins.
- Wins for \( R = \{ \text{NYY, BAL, BOS, TOR} \} = 278 \).
- Remaining games among \( \{ \text{NYY, BAL, BOS, TOR} \} = 3 + 8 + 7 + 2 + 7 = 27 \).
- Average team in \( R \) wins \( 305/4 = 76.25 \) games.
**Baseball elimination: maxflow formulation**

**Intuition.** Remaining games flow from $s$ to $t$.

![Graph diagram showing the maxflow formulation for baseball elimination.]

**Fact.** Team 4 not eliminated iff all edges pointing from $s$ are full in maxflow.
Maximum flow algorithms: theory

(Yet another) holy grail for theoretical computer scientists.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>year</th>
<th>method</th>
<th>worst case order of growth</th>
<th>discovered by</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1951</td>
<td>simplex</td>
<td>$E^3 U$</td>
<td>Dantzig</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1955</td>
<td>augmenting path</td>
<td>$E^2 U$</td>
<td>Ford-Fulkerson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>shortest augmenting path</td>
<td>$E^3$</td>
<td>Dinitz, Edmonds-Karp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>fattest augmenting path</td>
<td>$E^2 \log E \log(EU)$</td>
<td>Dinitz, Edmonds-Karp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1983</td>
<td>dynamic trees</td>
<td>$E^2 \log E$</td>
<td>Sleator-Tarjan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985</td>
<td>capacity scaling</td>
<td>$E^2 \log U$</td>
<td>Gabow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>length function</td>
<td>$E^{3/2} \log E \log U$</td>
<td>Goldberg-Rao</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>$E$</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

maxflow algorithms for sparse digraphs with E edges, integer capacities (max U)
Maximum flow algorithms: practice

Warning. Worst-case order-of-growth is generally not useful for predicting or comparing maxflow algorithm performance in practice.
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Abstract. We study efficient implementations of the push-relabel method for the maximum flow problem. The resulting codes are faster than the previous codes, and much faster on some problem families. The speedup is due to the combination of heuristics used in our implementations. We also exhibit a family of problems for which the running time of all known methods seem to have a roughly quadratic growth rate.
Summary

**Mincut problem.** Find an $st$-cut of minimum capacity.

**Maxflow problem.** Find an $st$-flow of maximum value.

**Duality.** Value of the maxflow = capacity of mincut.

Proven successful approaches.

- Ford-Fulkerson (various augmenting-path strategies).
- Preflow-push (various versions).

Open research challenges.

- Practice: solve real-word maxflow/mincut problems in linear time.
- Theory: prove it for worst-case inputs.
- Still much to be learned!