

We obtain two dual methods for defining sets and dual proof principles associated with these definitions.

0.4 INDUCTION AND COINDUCTION

$\mu X.F(X)$, the least solution of $X = F(X)$, is the set *inductively defined* by F .
 $\nu X.F(X)$, the greatest solution of $X = F(X)$, is the set *co-inductively defined* by F .
Principle of induction: If X is F -closed then $\mu X.F(X) \subseteq X$.
Principle of co-induction: If X is F -dense then $X \subseteq \nu X.F(X)$.

EXAMPLE 0.5. Mathematical induction is a special case. Suppose there is an element $0 \in U$ and an injective function $\text{succ} : U \rightarrow U$. If we define a monotone function $F_N : 2^U \rightarrow 2^U$ by

$$F_N(X) \triangleq \{0\} \cup \{\text{succ } x \mid x \in X\}$$

and set $N \triangleq \mu X.F_N(X)$, the associated principle of induction is that $N \subseteq X$ if $F_N(X) \subseteq X$, which is to say that $N \subseteq X$ if

- $0 \in X$ and
- $x \in X$ implies $\text{succ } x \in X$.

Think of X as a predicate on the natural numbers ($P(n)$ is true if $n \in X$). Then this says $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}. P(n)$ if

- $P(0)$ and
- $P(n)$ implies $P(n+1)$. □

EXAMPLE 0.6. Induction on syntax is again just a special case. In fact, the above example F_T corresponds exactly to the syntax of naturals in TAPL. For another example, consider boolean expressions, generated by the following function

$$F_T(X) \triangleq \{\text{true}, \text{false}\} \cup \{\text{if } x_1 \text{ then } x_2 \text{ else } x_3 \mid x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X\}.$$

The set of terms is $T = \mu X.F_T(X)$. The associated principle of induction is that $T \subseteq X$ if $F_T(X) \subseteq X$, which is to say that $T \subseteq X$ if

- $\text{true} \in X$ and
- $\text{false} \in X$ and
- $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ implies $\text{if } x_1 \text{ then } x_2 \text{ else } x_3 \in X$.

Think of X as a predicate on terms ($P(t)$ is true if $t \in X$). Then this says $\forall t \in T. P(t)$ if

- $P(\text{true})$ and
- $P(\text{false})$ and
- $P(x_1) \wedge P(x_2) \wedge P(x_3)$ implies $P(\text{if } x_1 \text{ then } x_2 \text{ else } x_3)$. □

EXAMPLE 0.7. Induction on the derivation of evaluation is another special case. Induction on the derivation of typing is another special case. □

Induction and Coinduction

(cribbed from Andy Gordon)

November 5, 2015

Let U be some universal set and $F : 2^U \rightarrow 2^U$ be a monotone function. Induction and co-induction are dual proof principles that derive from the definition of a set to be the least or greatest solution, respectively, of equations of the form $X = F(X)$. First some definitions.

0.1 FIXPOINTS, F -CLOSED AND F -DENSE SETS

A function $F : 2^U \rightarrow 2^U$ is *monotone* if $X \subseteq Y$ implies $F(X) \subseteq F(Y)$.

A *fixpoint* of F is a solution of the equation $X = F(X)$.

A set $X \subseteq U$ is *F -closed* iff $F(X) \subseteq X$.

A set $X \subseteq U$ is *F -dense* iff $X \subseteq F(X)$.

$\mu X.F(X) \triangleq \bigcap \{X \mid F(X) \subseteq X\}$.

$\nu X.F(X) \triangleq \bigcup \{X \mid X \subseteq F(X)\}$.

LEMMA 0.2.

(1) $\mu X.F(X)$ is the least F -closed set.

(2) $\nu X.F(X)$ is the greatest F -dense set.

Proof. We prove (2); (1) follows by a dual argument. Since $\nu X.F(X)$ contains every F -dense set by construction, we need only show that it is itself F -dense, for which the following lemma suffices.

If every X_i is F -dense, so is the union $\bigcup X_i$.

Since $X_i \subseteq F(X_i)$ for every i , $\bigcup X_i \subseteq \bigcup F(X_i)$. Since F is monotone, $F(X_i) \subseteq F(\bigcup X_i)$ for each i . Therefore $\bigcup F(X_i) \subseteq F(\bigcup X_i)$, and so we have $\bigcup X_i \subseteq F(\bigcup X_i)$ by transitivity, that is, $\bigcup X_i$ is F -dense. □

THEOREM 0.3 (TARSKI).

(1) $\mu X.F(X)$ is the least fixpoint of F .

(2) $\nu X.F(X)$ is the greatest fixpoint of F .

Proof. Again we prove (2) alone; (1) follows by a dual argument. Let $\bar{V} = \nu X.F(X)$. We have $\bar{V} \subseteq F(\bar{V})$ by Lemma 0.2. So $F(\bar{V}) \subseteq F(F(\bar{V}))$ by monotonicity of F . But then $F(\bar{V})$ is F -dense, and therefore $F(\bar{V}) \subseteq \bar{V}$. Combining the inequalities we have $\bar{V} = F(\bar{V})$; it is the greatest fixpoint because any other is F -dense, and hence contained in \bar{V} . □

- $0 \in X$ and
- $\text{succ } x \in X$ for every x such that $x \in \mathbb{N} \cap X$ and $\text{succ } x \in \mathbb{N}$.

Compare to the previous formulation:

- $0 \in X$ and
- $\text{succ } x \in X$ for every x such that $x \in X$.

In practice it is often handy to assume that x is in $\bar{\mu}$ (here \mathbb{N}) and to only have to prove $F(X) \subseteq X$ when $F(X)$ is also in $\bar{\mu}$. □

1 Strong Versions

The definitions given previously are in fact a bit weaker than one wants. For example, when showing that $P(n)$ implies $P(n+1)$ one would like to be able to assume that $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This is not justified by the principle induction as outlined so far. In this section we show that such assumptions can be justified using a stronger formulation of (co)induction.

PROPOSITION 1.1. Let U be an arbitrary universal set and let $F : 2^U \rightarrow 2^U$ be some monotone function. If $\bar{\mu} \triangleq \mu X.F(X)$ and $\bar{\nu} \triangleq \nu X.F(X)$ we have:

$$\bar{\mu} = \mu X.F(X) \cap \bar{\mu} \tag{\mu.I}$$

$$= \mu X.F(X \cap \bar{\mu}) \tag{\mu.II}$$

$$= \mu X.F(X \cap \bar{\nu}) \tag{\mu.III}$$

$$\bar{\nu} = \nu X.F(X) \cup \bar{\nu} \tag{\nu.I}$$

$$= \nu X.F(X \cup \bar{\nu}) \tag{\nu.II}$$

$$= \nu X.F(X \cup \bar{\mu}) \tag{\nu.III}$$

Proof. We prove the results for $\bar{\nu}$; the proofs for $\bar{\mu}$ are dual. Make the following definitions.

$$\bar{\nu}_1 \triangleq \nu X.F(X) \cup \bar{\nu}$$

$$\bar{\nu}_2 \triangleq \nu X.F(X \cup \bar{\nu})$$

$$\bar{\nu}_3 \triangleq \nu X.F(X \cup \bar{\mu}) \cup \bar{\nu}$$

We must show that each $\bar{\nu}_i$ equals $\bar{\nu}$. Since $\bar{\nu} \subseteq F(\bar{\nu})$ it follows by coinduction that $\bar{\nu} \subseteq \bar{\nu}_i$ for each i . The reverse inclusions take a little more work.

$\bar{\nu}_2 \subseteq \bar{\nu}$. Since $\bar{\nu}$ is a fixpoint of F , which is monotone, we have $\bar{\nu} = F(\bar{\nu}) \subseteq F(\bar{\nu}_2 \cup \bar{\nu})$.

Now $\bar{\nu}_2 \subseteq F(\bar{\nu}_2 \cup \bar{\nu})$ so $\bar{\nu}_2 \cup \bar{\nu} \subseteq F(\bar{\nu}_2 \cup \bar{\nu})$, and therefore $\bar{\nu}_2 \cup \bar{\nu} \subseteq \bar{\nu}$ by co-induction. Hence $\bar{\nu}_2 \subseteq \bar{\nu}$.

$\bar{\nu}_1 \subseteq \bar{\nu}_2$. We have $\bar{\nu}_1 = F(\bar{\nu}_1) \cup \bar{\nu} = F(\bar{\nu}_1) \cup F(\bar{\nu}) \subseteq F(\bar{\nu}_1 \cup \bar{\nu})$. So $\bar{\nu}_1 \subseteq \nu X.F(X \cup \bar{\nu})$.

$\bar{\nu}_3 \subseteq \bar{\nu}_2$. We have $\bar{\nu}_3 = F(\bar{\nu}_3 \cup \bar{\nu}) \cup \bar{\nu} = F(\bar{\nu}_3 \cup \bar{\nu}) \cup F(\bar{\nu}) = F(\bar{\nu}_3 \cup \bar{\nu})$ since $F(\bar{\nu}) \subseteq F(\bar{\nu}_3 \cup \bar{\nu})$. Hence $\bar{\nu}_3 \subseteq \nu X.F(X \cup \bar{\nu})$. □

(μ .III) and (ν .III) justify the following strong version of induction and coinduction.

1.2 STRONG (CO)INDUCTION (WHERE $\bar{\mu} = \mu X.F(X)$ AND $\bar{\nu} = \nu X.F(X)$)

Strong induction: If $F(X \cap \bar{\mu}) \cap \bar{\mu} \subseteq X$ then $\bar{\mu} \subseteq X$.

Strong co-induction: If $X \subseteq F(X \cup \bar{\nu}) \cup \bar{\nu}$ then $\bar{\nu} \subseteq X$.

EXAMPLE 1.3. For numbers, our strong induction yields $\mathbb{N} \subseteq X$ if $\{0\} \cup \{\text{succ } x \in \mathbb{N} \mid x \in X \wedge x \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq X$. Written another way, we can conclude that $\mathbb{N} \subseteq X$ if