

- Introduce the other representation.
- Show that both representations capture the same set of languages.

Context-free languages have two representations:

- Context-free grammars – generator
- Pushdown automata – recognizer

We will introduce the notion using the generator, then give the recognizer, and finally show their equivalence.

## 2 Context-free grammars

If you have ever seen the formal specification of a programming language, then you have likely seen a context-free grammar.

Let us start with the regular expressions and see how we can generalize them to get a grammar.

Consider the regular expression  $a(a^* \cup b^*)b$ .

We generate a string in this language by doing the following:

- Write down an  $a$
- Write down a string of  $a$ 's or a string of  $b$ 's, possibly of length 0
- Write down a  $b$

So a string in the language has a beginning (the  $a$ ), a middle (the string of  $a$ 's or the string of  $b$ 's) and an end (the  $b$ ).

Let  $S$  be a symbol that stands for a string in this language. Let the “middle part” of the string be represented by the symbol  $M$ . Then we can express the above by the following expression:

$S \rightarrow aMb$

You should read the arrow as meaning “can be”.

## 2.1 Definition

**Notation.** The symbols  $S$ ,  $M$ ,  $A$  and  $B$  are called *non-terminals*. Only non-terminals may appear on the left side of a rule.

**Definition 2.1.** A *context-free grammar*  $G$  is a quadruple  $(V, \Sigma, R, S)$  where:

- $V$  is an alphabet,
- $\Sigma$  (the set of terminals) is a subset of  $V$ ,
- $R$  (the set of rules) is a finite subset of  $(V - \Sigma) \times V^*$ , and
- $S$  (the start symbol) is an element of  $V - \Sigma$ .

**Notation.** •  $V - \Sigma$  are called *non-terminals*

- For any  $A \in V - \Sigma$  and  $u \in V^*$  we write  $A \rightarrow_G u$  whenever  $(A, u) \in R$ .
- For any strings  $u, v \in V^*$  we write  $u \Rightarrow_G v$  if and only if there are strings  $x, y \in V^*$  and  $A \in V - \Sigma$  s.t.  $u = xAy$ ,  $v = xv'y$ , and  $A \rightarrow_G v'$ .
- $\Rightarrow_G^*$  is the reflexive, transitive closure of  $\Rightarrow_G$
- If the grammar is obvious we will leave off the  $G$ .

$\mathcal{L}(G)$ , the language generated by some context-free grammar  $G$ , is  $\{ w \in \Sigma^* \mid S \Rightarrow_G^* w \}$ .

**Notation.** • We say that  $G$  generates each string in  $\mathcal{L}(G)$ .

- $L$  is a *context-free language* if  $L = \mathcal{L}(G)$  for some context-free grammar  $G$
- Any sequence of the form  $w_0 \Rightarrow_G w_1 \Rightarrow_G w_2 \Rightarrow_G \dots \Rightarrow_G w_n$  is called a *derivation* in  $G$  of  $w_n$  from  $w_0$ . The derivation has  $n$  steps.

# CSC444

October 26, 2014

## Contents

1	Context-free languages	1
2	Context-free grammars	2
2.1	Definition	4
2.2	Examples	5
3	All regular languages are context-free	7

## 1 Context-free languages

We have seen two distinct ways of representing languages:

- *Recognizer* – Determines if a string is or is not in a language
- *Generator* – Produces strings in a language

In the remainder of the quarter we will introduce another class of languages and two different representations for the class.

As with regular languages, we will:

- Introduce the language using one of its representations.

How can we specify  $M$ ?

It is either a string of  $a$ 's or a string of  $b$ 's, possibly of length 0. Let's consider the string of  $a$ 's. (The string of  $b$ 's will obviously be very similar). Let  $A$  represent a string of  $a$ 's.

$A \rightarrow \varepsilon$  (since it may be empty)

$A \rightarrow aA$

So we can get a string of  $a$ 's by either taking the empty string or by taking an  $a$  and appending onto it a string of  $a$ 's.

The rules for the string of  $B$ 's are then:

$B \rightarrow \varepsilon$

$B \rightarrow bB$

So what is  $M$ ?

$M \rightarrow A$

$M \rightarrow B$

Sample derivations:

- $abbbb : aMb \Rightarrow aBb \Rightarrow abBb \Rightarrow abbBb \Rightarrow abbbb$
- $aaab : aMb \Rightarrow aAb \Rightarrow aaAb \Rightarrow aaaAb \Rightarrow aaab$

This is an example of a *context-free grammar*.

Why is it called context-free?

Suppose we are considering the derivation of  $aaab$  above. If we are at some intermediate stage we have something like  $aaAb$ .

We can consider the strings surrounding the  $A$  to be the “context” for the symbol.

The rule  $A \rightarrow aA$  says that we can replace any occurrence of  $A$  with  $aA$  without taking the context of the symbol into account.

So no matter what is surrounding it, we can simply replace it with the text on the right side of the rule.

This means that the grammar is context-free, since context does not play a role in the derivation of the string.

- loud fuzzy loud Simone likes loud loud loud loud Simone

**Note.** English is not a context-free grammar, so the fault is not with us.

**Example 2.5.** Let  $G$  be given by  $V = \{S, (, )\}$ ,  $\Sigma = \{(, )\}$ ,  $R = \{S \rightarrow \varepsilon, S \rightarrow SS, S \rightarrow (S)\}$ .

Sample derivations:

- $S \Rightarrow SS \Rightarrow S(S) \Rightarrow S((S)) \Rightarrow S(((S))) \Rightarrow ()((()))$
- $S \Rightarrow SS \Rightarrow (S)S \Rightarrow ()S \Rightarrow ()(S) \Rightarrow ()((S))$

**Note.** •  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  is the set of all strings with balanced parentheses. Again we have devised a way to represent a non-regular language.

- A single string can have more than one derivation. We will get back to that issue later.

**Example 2.6.** The grammar for the MindStorms robot.

**Example 2.7.** Let  $G$  be given by  $V = \{S, A, B\} \cup \Sigma$ ,  $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$  and  $R = \{S \rightarrow aB, S \rightarrow bA, A \rightarrow a, A \rightarrow aS, A \rightarrow bAA, B \rightarrow b, B \rightarrow bS, B \rightarrow aBB\}$ .

Sample derivations:

- $S \Rightarrow bA \Rightarrow baS \Rightarrow baaB \Rightarrow baab$
- $S \Rightarrow aB \Rightarrow aaBB \Rightarrow aabSaBB \Rightarrow aabaBabb \Rightarrow aabababb$

It is not easy to determine, but  $\mathcal{L}(G) = \{w \in \{a, b\}^+ \mid w \text{ has an equal number of } a\text{'s and } b\text{'s}\}$ .

We can show this by proving:

- $S \Rightarrow^* w$  if and only if  $w$  consists of an equal number of  $a$ 's and  $b$ 's
- $A \Rightarrow^* w$  if and only if  $w$  has one more  $a$  than it has  $b$ 's
- $B \Rightarrow^* w$  if and only if  $w$  has one more  $b$  than it has  $a$ 's

I will leave the proof as a suggested problem.

## 2.2 Examples

**Example 2.2.** Let  $G$  be the context-free grammar with  $V = \{S, A, A', a\}$ ,  $\Sigma = \{a\}$  and  $R = \{S \rightarrow AAA, A \rightarrow aA', A' \rightarrow aA', A' \rightarrow \varepsilon\}$ .

Sample derivations:

- $S \Rightarrow AAA \Rightarrow aA'aA'a' \Rightarrow aaa$
- $S \Rightarrow AAA \Rightarrow aA'aA'a' \Rightarrow aaA'aa \Rightarrow aaaa$

It is easy to recognize this language.  $\mathcal{L}(G) = \{w \in \{a\}^+ \mid |w| \geq 3\}$

**Example 2.3.** Let  $G$  be the context-free grammar with  $V = \{S, a, b\}$ ,  $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$  and  $R = \{S \rightarrow aSb, S \rightarrow \varepsilon\}$ .

Sample derivations:

- $S \Rightarrow aSb \Rightarrow aaSbb \Rightarrow aabb$
- $S \Rightarrow aSb \Rightarrow aaSbb \Rightarrow aaaSbbb \Rightarrow aaaaSbbbb \Rightarrow aaaaabbbb$

It's fairly easy to recognize that  $\mathcal{L}(G) = \{a^i b^i \mid i \geq 0\}$

So our generalization of regular expressions is more powerful!

**Example 2.4.** Let  $G$  be given by  $V = \{S, A, N, V, P\} \cup \Sigma$ ,  $\Sigma = \{Amber, Simone, likes, furry, loud\}$  and  $R = \{S \rightarrow PVP, P \rightarrow N, P \rightarrow AP, A \rightarrow fuzzy, A \rightarrow loud, N \rightarrow Simone, N \rightarrow Amber, V \rightarrow likes\}$ .

$G$  is intended to be a grammar for a part of English.  $S$  stands for sentence,  $A$  for adjective,  $V$  for verb, and  $P$  for phrase.

Sample derivations:

- Amber likes Simone
- loud Amber likes fuzzy Simone
- loud loud loud loud loud Simone likes Amber
- loud Simone likes fuzzy Amber

## 3 All regular languages are context-free

**Theorem 3.1.** All regular languages are context-free.

We will see this result again once we introduce pushdown automata, since it is a trivial result of the definition.

For now, however, let's give a direct construction.

**Proof.** Let  $L$  be a regular language and consider the DFAM  $= (K, \Sigma, \delta, s, F)$  where  $\mathcal{L}(M) = L$ .  $\square$  Then  $L$  is also generated by the grammar  $G(M) = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$  where  $V = K \cup \Sigma$ ,  $S = s$ , and  $R = \{q \rightarrow ap \mid \delta(q, a) = p\} \cup \{q \rightarrow \varepsilon \mid q \in F\}$ .

Each state of the automaton becomes a non-terminal and each transition of a state  $q$  into a state  $p$  consuming input  $a$  is transformed into a rule.

**Example 3.2.** Let  $K = \{S, A\}$ ,  $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$ ,  $s = S$ ,  $F = \{S\}$ , and  $\delta(S, a) = A$ ,  $\delta(S, b) = S$ ,  $\delta(A, a) = S$ ,  $\delta(A, b) = A$ .

What language is this? The set of all strings over  $\{a, b\}^*$  with an even number of  $a$ 's.

Then we can generate that language with the grammar:  $V = \{S, A, a, b\}$  and  $R = \{S \rightarrow \varepsilon, S \rightarrow bS, S \rightarrow aA, A \rightarrow aS, A \rightarrow bA\}$ .

$S \Rightarrow bS \Rightarrow bbS \Rightarrow bbbS \Rightarrow bbbbaS \Rightarrow bbbbaa$

**Claim 3.3.**  $\mathcal{L}(G(M)) = \mathcal{L}(M) = L$

**Proof.** Left as an exercise.  $\square$