

CSC 347 - Concepts of Programming Languages

Argument Passing

Instructor: James Riely



Learning Objectives

- ❓ How should arguments to functions be passed in?
 - Interpret call-by-value and call-by-reference function calls



Argument Passing

? What are `x`, `y`, `"hello"`, and `10` below:

```
1 def f (x:String, y:Int) = x * y
2 f ("hello", 10)
```

- `x`, `y` : *formal parameters (or parameters)*
- `"hello"`, `10` : *actual parameters (or arguments)*



Argument Passing

- What does a call to `f` print?

```
1 def g (y:Int) : Int =  
2   y = y + 1  
3 end g  
4  
5 def f () =  
6   var x = 1  
7   g (x)  
8   println (x)  
9 end f
```

- Prints `1` because Scala uses call-by-value



Call-By-Value vs. Call-By-Reference

Call-by-Value

- Most PLs use *call-by-value* (CBV) by default
- To run `g (e)`
 - i. evaluate `e` to a value `v`
 - ii. pass a *copy* of `v` to `g`
 - iii. callee changes to copy of `v` are not visible to caller

Call-by-Reference

- Some PLs use *call-by-reference* (CBR)
- To run `g (e)`
 - i. evaluate `e` to an l-value `r`
 - ii. pass the address `r` to `g`
 - iii. callee changes via `r` are visible to caller



Example

```
1 def g(y:Int) : Int =  
2   y = y + 1  
3 end g  
4  
5 def f() =  
6   var x = 1  
7   g(x)  
8   println(x)  
9 end f
```

Call-By-Value

- Prints `1` in a CBV PL, i.e., `x=1` after call to `g`

Call-By-Reference

- Prints `2` in a CBR PL, i.e., `x=2` after call to `g`



Formal Semantics of Function Calls

- **?** How do we specify what a function does?
- Have: global store ξ for variables and their values
- Need another store ϕ : maps function names to argument names and function bodies
- Need a local store ρ for arguments and local variables

Call-by-value

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \langle e_1, \xi_0, \phi, \rho_0 \rangle \Downarrow \langle v_1, \xi_1, \phi_1, \rho_1 \rangle \\
 \vdots \\
 \langle e_n, \xi_{n-1}, \phi, \rho_{n-1} \rangle \Downarrow \langle v_n, \xi_n, \phi_n, \rho_n \rangle
 \end{array}
 \left. \vphantom{\begin{array}{l} \langle e_1, \xi_0, \phi, \rho_0 \rangle \Downarrow \langle v_1, \xi_1, \phi_1, \rho_1 \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle e_n, \xi_{n-1}, \phi, \rho_{n-1} \rangle \Downarrow \langle v_n, \xi_n, \phi_n, \rho_n \rangle \end{array}} \right\} \text{evaluate arguments } e_n$$

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \phi(f) = (x_1, \dots, x_n, b) \quad \text{look up function} \\
 \hline
 \langle b, \xi_n, \phi, \{x_1 \mapsto v_1, \dots, x_n \mapsto v_n\} \rangle \Downarrow \langle v, \xi', \phi', \rho' \rangle \quad \text{evaluate function body } b
 \end{array}$$

$$\frac{\langle b, \xi_n, \phi, \{x_1 \mapsto v_1, \dots, x_n \mapsto v_n\} \rangle \Downarrow \langle v, \xi', \phi', \rho' \rangle}{\langle f(e_1, \dots, e_n), \xi_0, \phi, \rho_0 \rangle \Downarrow \langle v, \xi', \phi, \rho_n \rangle} \text{(FunCBV)}$$

Call-by-reference (copy-in, copy-out)

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \langle e_1, \xi_0, \phi, \rho_0 \rangle \Downarrow \langle v_1, \xi_1, \phi_1, \rho_1 \rangle \\
 \vdots \\
 \langle e_n, \xi_{n-1}, \phi, \rho_{n-1} \rangle \Downarrow \langle v_n, \xi_n, \phi_n, \rho_n \rangle
 \end{array}
 \left. \vphantom{\begin{array}{l} \langle e_1, \xi_0, \phi, \rho_0 \rangle \Downarrow \langle v_1, \xi_1, \phi_1, \rho_1 \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle e_n, \xi_{n-1}, \phi, \rho_{n-1} \rangle \Downarrow \langle v_n, \xi_n, \phi_n, \rho_n \rangle \end{array}} \right\} \text{evaluate arguments } e_n$$

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \phi(f) = (x_1, \dots, x_n, b) \quad \text{look up function} \\
 \hline
 \langle b, \xi_n, \phi, \{x_1 \mapsto v_1, \dots, x_n \mapsto v_n\} \rangle \Downarrow \langle v, \xi', \phi', \rho' \rangle \quad \text{evaluate function body } b
 \end{array}$$

$$\frac{\langle b, \xi_n, \phi, \{x_1 \mapsto v_1, \dots, x_n \mapsto v_n\} \rangle \Downarrow \langle v, \xi', \phi', \rho' \rangle}{\langle f(e_1, \dots, e_n), \xi_0, \phi, \rho_0 \rangle \Downarrow \langle v, \xi', \phi, \rho_n \cup \rho'_{\downarrow \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}} \rangle} \text{(FunCBR)}$$



CBR and Temporaries

- ? Can temporary values be passed as l-values?
 - `g(x+1)` is not obviously legitimate in CBR
 - Some languages reject it, some allow it
 - Perl allows it



Call-By-Reference: Perl

- Perl uses CBR

```
1 sub g {  
2     $_[0] = $_[0] + 1;  
3 }  
4  
5 sub f {  
6     my $x = 1;  
7     g ($x);  
8     print ("x = $x\n");  
9 }  
10  
11 f ();
```

```
1 $ perl ./cbr.pl  
2 x = 2
```



Call-By-Reference: Perl

- Perl allows temporaries!

```
1 sub g {  
2     $_[0] = $_[0] + 1;  
3 }  
4  
5 sub f {  
6     my $x = 1;  
7     g ($x + 1);  
8     print ("x = $x\n");  
9 }  
10  
11 f ();
```

```
1 $ perl ./cbr.pl  
2 x = 1
```



Call-By-Reference: Perl

- Simulate CBV by creating copies explicitly

```
1 sub g {
2     my ($y) = @_;
3     $y = $y + 1;
4 }
5
6 sub f {
7     my $x = 1;
8     g ($x);
9     print ("x = $x\n");
10 }
11
12 f ();
13 # x=1
```



Call-By-Value: C

- Simulate CBR in C by explicitly passing, receiving, accessing a pointer

```
1 void g (int *p) {
2     *p = *p + 1;
3 }
4
5 int main () {
6     int x = 1;
7     g (&x);
8     printf ("x = %d\n", x);
9     return 0;
10 }
11 // x=2
```



Call-By-Reference: C++

- C++ reference type `int&` (unlike `int*`, creates references implicitly)

```
1 void g(int& y) {
2     y = y + 1;
3 }
4
5 int main() {
6     int x = 1;
7     g(x);
8     // x==2
9     cout << "x = " << x << endl;
10    return 0;
11 }
```

- ❓ What makes this design error-prone?



Call-By-Reference: C++

! In C++, at caller CBR is indistinguishable from CBV

```
1 void g(int y) { // vs. void g(int& y)
2   y = y + 1;
3 }
4
5 int main() {
6   int x = 1;
7   g(x);
8   // x==1 vs. x==2 when void g(int& y)
9   cout << "x = " << x << endl;
10  return 0;
11 }
```

- Small change in function signature, large effect at caller



Call-By-Reference: C#

- C# has reference parameters `ref int`

```
1 class Test {
2     static void g (ref int y) {
3         y = y + 1;
4     }
5
6     static void Main () {
7         int x = 1;
8         g (ref x);
9         // x == 2
10        Console.WriteLine("{0}", x);
11    }
12 }
```

- Unlike `int&` must also be used by caller



Call-By-Reference: C++

- Passing a non-lvalue

```
1 void g(int& y) {
2     y = y + 1;
3 }
4
5 int main() {
6     int x = 1;
7     g(x + 1);
8     cout << "x = " << x << endl;
9     return 0;
10 }
```

```
1 $ g++ -o reference reference.cpp
2 reference.cpp: In function 'int main()':
3 references.cpp:11:8: error: invalid initialization of non-const
4   reference of type 'int&' from an rvalue of type 'int'
5     g (x + 1);
6         ^
7 references.cpp:5:6: error: in passing argument 1 of 'void g(int&)'
8   void g (int& y) {
9         ^
```



Call-By-Value: Java

- Java has only a restricted form of *pointers*, called *references*
 - must point to heap-allocated objects
 - cannot point to stack-allocated data
 - cannot point to primitive types
- Java references cannot be forged
 - not from integers via casting
 - not from other references via pointer arithmetic
- Objects only accessed via references
 - unlike C++
 - Java has no address-of `&` operator



Call-By-Value: Java

- Simulate CBR In Java: heap-allocated object with field of intended argument type
- Pass a reference to the object instance **by value**

```
1 class IntRef { int n; }
2
3 public class Ref {
4     static void g(IntRef r) { r.n = r.n + 1; }
5
6     public static void main(String[] args) {
7         IntRef s = new IntRef (); s.n = 1;
8         g(s);
9         // s.n == 2
10        System.out.println (s.n);
11    }
12 }
```



Summary

Call-by-value

- Pass copies of r-values as arguments
- Changes not visible to caller
- Strict evaluation

Call-by-reference

- Pass l-values as arguments
- Changes visible to caller
- Strict evaluation